Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/684,086	YOUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lee S. Cohen	3739	

	Lee S. Cohen	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If both a RESISTION See MEER 700 07/6	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing op). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). (xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	wien to the date of filling a brief	وط لموسوعون وطعوس النبي				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further contains (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-5,7,10-13,23,25-30,32,34-39 and 41-4	ided below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15-17</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6,8,18,20-22,24,37 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 <u>,33,40 and 47</u> .					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Lee S. Cohen/					
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3739				

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 9 would be rejectable under 35 USC 112, 2nd par. since the limitation therein is redundant in light of the amendment to claim 1. Claim 10 - "other electrodes array" in line 9 should be --other electrode array--. Claim 11 has the dependency on claim 0. Claims 15-18 depend upon a canceled claim. Claim 30, line 1 - "element" should be plural. All withdrawn claims should be reviewed to ensure they depend upon a generic claim and comply with 35 USC 112; otherwise, they will be canceled upon allowance.